

COPS Worksheet

Problem-Solving Partnerships Report on Response Phase

This report is the second in a series of four Progress Reports for Problem-Solving Partnerships grantees. This report focuses on the Response stage of your project, following the SARA (Scan, Analyze, Respond, Assess) model.

U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Community Oriented Policing Services
Thomas C. Frazier, Director

Problem-Solving Partnerships Report on Response Phase

The following report will assist the COPS Office in assessing the administration of COPS grants and the implementation of community policing. If you have any questions about this report, please call your grant advisor or the U.S. Department of Justice Response Center at 1-800-421-6770.



U.S. Department of Justice Office of Community Oriented Policing Services 1100 Vermont Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530

Internet web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/cops/

March 1998

Background Information

| Grant Number: | ORI: |
|---------------|----------------|
| Agency Name: | State: |
| Contact Name: | Contact Phone: |
| Problem Type: | |

Section 1

Response Phase Strategy

1. Describe the overall response strategy used by the department and how the information gathered during the analysis phase of the program resulted in the specific response(s) tried. Please pay particular attention to the Victim, Environment and Offender questions listed in your original application when answering this question. Attach additional pages if necessary.

Example

Response #1:

Analysis finding: 70% of auto thefts in target district occurred in 3 apartment buildings parking lots. Offenders did not live inside the complexes but had easy access to the lots (no gates or attendants).

Response: Officers worked with three apartment managers to install electronic gates at the entrance to the lots. The cost for the gates was shared by the property owners, a local insurance company and the tenants' association.

2. Describe the range of response strategies considered. If strategies were not pursued, please explain the drawbacks to these strategies.

| 3. Government agencies participating in response activities (check all that apply): | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | ☐ Alcohol beverage control | | | | MVA/DMV | | |
| | ☐ School board/school district | | | | Parks & recreation | | |
| | | Division of Youth Services | | | Public works | | |
| | ☐ Federal law enforcement agencies | | | | Health department | | |
| | | State or territorial law enforcement agencies | | | Social services | | |
| | | County or parish law enforcement agencies | | City or county council Mass transit admin. | | | |
| | | Local/municipal law enforcement agencies | | | | | |
| | Elected executive (e.g., mayor, county executive) | | | | Fire & rescue | | |
| | | Non-elected executive (e.g., city manager, county administra | tor) | | | | |
| | | Other (please specify): | | | | | |
| 4. | _ | ernmental organizations participating in response activities: | _ | T | | | |
| | | Nongovernmental development agencies | | | ance agencies | | |
| | | Industry-specific business groups | | General business groups MADD/SADD Neighborhood Watch groups | | | |
| | | Medical groups | | | | | |
| | | AARP | | | | | |
| | | Homeowner/renter associations | | Parents' groups (e.g., PTA) | | | |
| | | Victims' assistance organizations | Women's shelters | | | | |
| | | Religious organizations | \square Service organizations | | | | |
| | | Other (please specify): | | | | | |
| 5. | importan | following factors that determined which response strategy ce (1 being the most important factor). Please do not number factor | • | | | | |
| | | Public perception | | | | | |
| | | Political ramifications | | | | | |
| | | Internal constraints (e.g., available manpower/resources) | | | | | |
| | | Ability to make a quantifiable impact (e.g., increased a | rrests | , fewer i | incidents) | | |
| | | Ability to decrease the severity of incidents | | | | | |
| | | Ability to reduce related incidents | _ | | | | |
| | | Practicality of coordinating response with other crim | inal j | ustice e | ntities | | |
| | | Partner's preference | | | | | |
| | | Other (please specify): | | | | | |

Section 2

Resources

| | of hours. | | |
|------------|-----------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| | | By sworn officer(s): | |
| | _ | | % proactive: |
| | | By civilian personnel: | |
| | | By personnel affiliated with the partner: | |
| 7 . | What dat | e did you begin the response phase? Please provid | le beginning date and ending date, if applicable |
| | Begi | inning:/ Ending: | // |
| 8. | What res | ources were made available for implementing the | e chosen response(s)? |
| | | Additional police personnel: | |
| | | ☐ Patrol officers | |
| | | Detectives | |
| | | Specialized units (please specify): | |
| | | Overtime resources | |
| | | Crime analysis equipment/personnel | |
| | | Investigative equipment/technology | |
| | | Additional civilian personnel | |
| | | Technical assistance (CPTED specialists, legal adv | isors, etc.) |
| | | Costs associated with making environmental ch | anges |
| | | Citizen volunteers | |
| | | No additional resources were needed | |
| | | No additional resources were needed | |

What is the estimated cost, if any, of the response(s) pursued? Please itemize equipment, personnel, etc.

| 10. | Will the r | esponse strategy conti | nue t | to demand additional resources? |
|-----|-------------|-------------------------|--------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| | | Yes | | No |
| | If yes, for | how long? | | |
| | | Less than 6 months | | |
| | | 6-12 months | | |
| | | Indefinitely | | |
| | | Other (please specify): | | |
| | | | | |
| | | _ | | |
| Se | ction | 3 | | |
| Res | ponse Imp | lementation and Outc | ome | S |
| 11. | What sign | nificant obstacles have | you | encountered in implementing a response(s)? |
| | | Officer resistance | | |
| | | Lack of support from | mid | l- and upper-level management |
| | | Public apathy | | |
| | | Public resistance | | |
| | | Conflict with partner | | |
| | | Political pressure/int | erfer | rence |
| | | Lack of coordination | with | /cooperation from other government agencies |
| | | No obstacles encount | ered | |
| | | Other (please specify): | | |
| | | Insufficient personne | l reso | ources (please explain): |
| | | Insufficient equipmen | nt res | sources(please explain): |
| 12. | What out | comes was the departr | nent | seeking to realize by implementing the response(s)? |

| 13. | | s the role of your partner in designing and implementing the response(s)? Please describe any engaged in by your partner. |
|-----|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 14. | | te the helpfulness of the "Sixteen Techniques of Situational Crime Prevention" chart included in ing in developing your response strategy. |
| | | Very helpful |
| | | Somewhat helpful |
| | | Slightly helpful |
| | | Not at all helpful |
| | | Other (please explain): |
| Se | ction | 4 |
| | ninistration | |
| 15. | What iter | ns awarded under your grant have you purchased to date? |
| 16. | How mu | ch money have you drawn down to date? le date effective.) |
| 17. | | PROVIDE ANY OTHER COMMENTS ABOUT THE RESPONSE PHASE OF YOUR PROJECT SPACE BELOW OR ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES. |

Paperwork Reduction Act Notice

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to be up to one hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspects of the collection of this information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, U.S. Department of Justice, 1100 Vermont Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20530; and to the Public Use Reports Project, 1103-0030, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for this collection of information is pending.